

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,019	09/17/2003	Robert P. Meagley	ITL.1015US (P16702)	ITL.1015US (P16702) 7949	
21906	7590 02/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TROP PRUNER & HU, PC			WALKE, A	WALKE, AMANDA C	
8554 KATY	FREEWAY				
SUITE 100			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON,	TX 77024	1752			
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Comments	10/666,019	MEAGLEY ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Amanda C Walke	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repless of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered time the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	rly. communication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 L	December 2004.					
·— · ·	s action is non-final.					
,— ,,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		-				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	l Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 1752

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kodama et al (6,492,091).

Kodama et al disclose a positive photosensitive composition comprises: (A) a compound generating an acid upon irradiation with one of an actinic ray and radiation; (B) a resin containing a monocyclic or polycyclic alicyclic hydrocarbon structure and increasing the solubility to an alkali developer by the action of an acid; and (C) an onium salt of carboxylic acid. The photo acid generator for use in the present invention is a compound which generates an acid upon irradiation with an actinic ray or radiation. The compound which decomposes upon irradiation with an active ray or radiation to generate an acid for use in the present invention may be appropriately selected from photoinitiators for photo-cation polymerization, photoinitiators for photo-radical polymerization, photo-achromatizing agents for dyes, photo-discoloring agents, known compounds which generate an acid by light used in a microresist or the like (an ultraviolet ray or deep ultraviolet ray of from 400 to 200 nm, particularly preferably, a g-line, h-line, i-line or KrF excimer laser beam), an ArF excimer laser beam, an electron beam, an X ray, a molecular beam or an ion beam, and mixtures of these compounds. For example, onium salts

Art Unit: 1752

such as diazonium salts, ammonium salts, phosphonium salts, iodonium salts, sulfonium salts, selenonium salts and arsonium salts, organic halogeno-compounds, organo-metals/organic halides, photo-acid generators having an o-nitrobenzyl type protective group, compounds generating a sulfonic acid upon photolysis, which are represented by iminosulfonates, disulfone compounds, diazoketosulfone compounds and diazodisulfone compounds are used. As taught in column 8, PAG4 is of a structure similar to that instantly claimed. R<sup>203</sup>, R<sup>204</sup> and R<sup>205</sup>, which may be the same or different, each represents a substituted or unsubstituted alkyl group or a substituted or unsubstituted aryl group, preferably an aryl group having from 6 to 14 carbon atoms, an alkyl group having from 1 to 8 carbon atoms, or a substituted derivative thereof. Preferred examples of the substituent include, for the aryl group, an alkoxy group having from 1 to 8 carbon atoms, an alkyl group having from 1 to 8 carbon atoms, a nitro group, a carboxy group, a hydroxy group and a halogen atom, and for the alkyl group, an alkoxy group having from 1 to 8 carbon atoms, a carboxy group and an alkoxycarbonyl group. Z represents a counter anion and examples thereof include BF<sub>4</sub>, AsF<sub>6</sub>, PF<sub>6</sub>, SbF<sub>6</sub>, SiF<sub>6</sub><sup>2</sup>, ClO<sub>4</sub>, an alkane sulfonic acid anion which may be substituted, a perfluoroalkane sulfonic acid anion, a benzene sulfonic acid anion which may be substituted, a naphthalene sulfonic acid anion, anthraquinone sulfonic acid anion and a camphol sulfonic acid anion, however, the present invention should not be construed as being limited thereto. Preferred examples of the anion include an alkane sulfonic acid anion, a perfluoroalkane sulfonic acid anion, an alkyl-substituted benzene sulfonic acid anion and pentafluorobenzene sulfonic acid anion.

Exemplified compounds PAG 4-9 and 4-10 meet the instant claim limitations, the instant claims are anticipated by the reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,019 Page 4

Art Unit: 1752

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kodama et al (6,492,091).

Kodama et al has been discussed above. It is noted that the reference teaches that R<sup>203</sup>, R<sup>204</sup> and R<sup>205</sup> of the photoacid generator cation may be the same or different, each represents a substituted or unsubstituted alkyl group or a substituted or unsubstituted aryl group, preferably an aryl group having from 6 to 14 carbon atoms, an alkyl group having from 1 to 8 carbon atoms, or a substituted derivative thereof. Preferred examples of the substituent include, for the aryl group, an alkoxy group having from 1 to 8 carbon atoms, an alkyl group having from 1 to 8 carbon atoms, a nitro group, a carboxy group, a hydroxy group and a halogen atom, and for the alkyl group, an alkoxy group having from 1 to 8 carbon atoms, a carboxy group and an alkoxycarbonyl group. Thus, given the teachings of the reference, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material of Kodama et al choosing for all three of R<sup>203</sup>, R<sup>204</sup> and R<sup>205</sup>, in the photoacid generator cation to be alkyl groups, with reasonable expectation of achieving a material having excellent pitch dependency and development margin.

5. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujimori et al (6,692,884).

Art Unit: 1752

Fujimori et al disclose a positive resist composition comprising a photoacid generator.

One compound, II-22 meets the instant claim limitations as the phenyl groups of the cation have been replaced by alkyl groups.

Given the teachings of the reference, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare the material of Fujimori et al choosing to employ II-22 as the photoacid generator, with reasonable expectation of achieving a material having excellent in halftone exposure aptitude.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 12/6/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued that the Kodama et al reference did not meet the instant claim limitations as the photoacid generators did not have multiple sigma bonded moieties. In light of the amendment and arguements, the examiner has clarified the rejection. As discussed above, the reference(s) do teach photoacid generator compounds having cation wherein the phenyl groups have been replaced by alkyl groups that are sigma bonded.

Therefore, the examiner has maintained her rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sato (6,727,039) has been cited for its teachings of similar compounds.

Art Unit: 1752

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda C Walke whose telephone number is 571-272-1337. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Amanda C Walke

Examiner

Art Unit 1752

ACW February 22, 2005